Places Frequented for Recreation & Entertainment* by the Latino Community of Del Norte, Humboldt & Mendocino Counties, CA Brookings Smith California Center for **DEL NORTE** River **Casquet** Rural Policy (CCRP) Methods: During 2006-07 54 key informant interviews were conducted with people > age 18. Study Area Participants included individuals who work closely with the Crescent Latino community, health providers who serve Latinos, and City Latino community leaders throughout the Mendocino (N=20), Del Norte (N=14) and Humboldt County (N= 20) region. Trinity County was not included in this study due to the small percentage of Latinos living in the county (4.0 % of tot. pop.). Klamath SISKIYOU Orleans_ Orick HUMBOLDT Hoopa Trinity Center Willow 90 Mi McKinleyville Creek Arcata Towns frequented for recreation and SHASTA Samoa entertainment by the Latino community Junction Eureka of Del Norte, Humboldt & Mendocino Counties. City (Sampled counties are shown in yellow.) Weaverville Loleta Fortuna Redding Hayfork Where Latinos Go for Ferndale Recreation & Entertainment TRINITY Bridgeville Type of Facility (Pie charts): Represented as a percentage of total responses, grouped by county. Ruth Community Centers, Halls Red Bluff Redway Nature - Rivers & Beaches Garberville **Parks** TEHAMA Benbow Soccer Movies MENDOCINO Mall Other Towns Frequented Frequency** of Use: GLENN 15 - 22 Fort Bragg Willits 8 - 14 Potter Valley 3 - 7 Mendodino 1 - 2 **Ukteh** Latino Population, 2000 Phillo Percent by Census Tract Clearlake 22 - 33Hopland 14 - 21 **Point** 10 - 13 LAKE Arena 7 - 9 10 Mi 4 - 6 2 - 3 SONOMA Data Source: CCRP Latino Project, 2006-7*; U.S. Census Bureau SF1, 2000. NAPA Russian * Data generated from CCRP Latino Project, Q16(d): Where do members River of the Latino community most often go for recreation and entertainment? Frequency = Number of times a particular location was mentioned. Santa Rosa Note: Informants could name multiple geographic locations. The qualitative analysis sought to identify multiple themes and codes for each question asked. Therefore, the number of codes does not reflect the number of people interviewed, but rather the range of responses provided for each question. GIS & Cartography: R. Degagne, 2007